arXiv:0809.0393v1 [math.FA] 2 Sep 2008

Uniform convergence for convexification of dominated pointwise convergent continuous functions

Zoltán Kánnai
Department of Mathematics
Corvinus University Budapest

Abstract: The Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem of the measure theory implies that the Riemann integral of a bounded sequence of continuous functions over the interval $[0,1]$ pointwise converging to zero, also converges to zero. The validity of this result is independent of measure theory, on the other hand, this result together with only elementary functional analysis, can generate measure theory itself [2]. The mentioned result was also known before the appearance of measure theory [1][5], but the original proof was very complicated. For this reason this result, when presented in teaching, is generally obtained based on measure theory. Later, Eberlein [3] gave an elementary, but still relatively complicated proof, and there were other simpler proofs but burdened with complicated concepts, like measure theory (e.g. [4]). In this paper we give a short and elementary proof even for the following strenghened form of the mentioned result: a bounded sequence of continuous functions defined on a compact topological space K, pointwise converging to zero, has a suitable convexification converging also uniformly to zero on K, thus, e.g., the original sequence converges weakly to zero in $C(K)$. This fact can also be used in the proof of the Krein-Smulian theorem [6]. The usual proof beyond the simple tools of the functional analysis, uses heavy embedding theorems and the Riesz' representation theorem with the whole apparatus of measure theory. Our main result, however, reduces the cited proof to a form in which we need abstract tools only, namely the Hahn-Banach separation theorem and Alaoglu's theorem, without Riesz' representation or any statement of measure theory.

1. Positive functionals keep the dominated pointwise convergence

Let K be a compact topological space, denote by $C(K)$ the Banach space of real valued continuous functions over K. The operator "upper envelope" (resp. "lower envelope") is denoted by the symbol $\vee$ (resp. $\wedge$). Fix a positive linear functional $\Phi : C(K) \to \mathbb{R}$. For every function $f : K \to \mathbb{R}_+$, define

$$\overline{\Phi}(f) := \sup_{\substack{g \in C(K) \\ 0 \le g \le f}} \Phi g \ .$$

$\overline{\Phi}$ is obviously monotone, i.e. $\overline{\Phi}(f_1) \ge \overline{\Phi}(f_2)$ if $f_1 \ge f_2$, moreover $\overline{\Phi}$ is an extension of Φ.

We note that $\overline{\Phi}$ is not subadditive. This fact gives importance to the next lemma.

1. Lemma. *Let $f_1, f_2 : K \to \mathbb{R}_+$, $f_1 \ge f_2$ and $g, h \in C(K)$, $0 \le h \le f_1$, $0 \le g \le f_2$. Then*

$$\overline{\Phi}(f_2) - \Phi(g \wedge h) \le \left(\overline{\Phi}(f_1) - \Phi h\right) + \left(\overline{\Phi}(f_2) - \Phi g\right) \ .$$

Proof: In view of the identity $g + h = (g \vee h) + (g \wedge h)$ we obtain

$$\Phi g + \Phi h = \Phi(g \vee h) + \Phi(g \wedge h) \ ,$$

hence $g \vee h \le f_1$ implies

$$\Phi g + \Phi h \le \overline{\Phi}(f_1) + \Phi(g \wedge h) \ ,$$

whence obviously

$$\overline{\Phi}(f_2) - \Phi(g \wedge h) \le \left(\overline{\Phi}(f_1) - \Phi h\right) + \left(\overline{\Phi}(f_2) - \Phi g\right) \ .$$

□

2. Lemma. *Take a sequence of functions $f_n : K \to \mathbb{R}_+$ with $f_1 \le \alpha < +\infty$ and $f_n(x)$ decreasingly tending to 0 for every $x \in K$. Then $\overline{\Phi}(f_n) \to 0$.*

Proof: Fix a number $\varepsilon > 0$. For every integer n choose a function $g_n \in C(K)$ such that $0 \le g_n \le f_n$ and

$$\Phi g_n > \overline{\Phi}(f_n) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}} \ .$$

Put $h_n := \bigwedge_{k=1}^{n} g_k$. Prove by induction that

$$\overline{\Phi}(f_n) - \Phi h_n \le \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}} \ .$$

The case $n = 1$ is obvious since $h_1 = g_1$. Supposing the statement is true for n, from the previous lemma we get

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\Phi}(f_{n+1}) - \Phi h_{n+1} &= \overline{\Phi}(f_{n+1}) - \Phi(g_{n+1} \wedge h_n) \le \\ &\le \left(\overline{\Phi}(f_n) - \Phi h_n\right) + \left(\overline{\Phi}(f_{n+1}) - \Phi g_{n+1}\right) \le \\ &\le \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+2}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}} \ , \end{aligned}$$

which completes the induction. Now the sequence $(h_n) \subseteq C(K)$ tends pointwise decreasingly to 0, so by Dini's theorem we obtain that h_n uniformly tends to 0 on K, hence by continuity of Φ we get $\Phi h_h \to 0$. Thus, there is an index N such that $0 \leq \Phi h_h \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for every $n \geq N$, consequently $0 \leq \overline{\Phi}(f_n) \leq \Phi h_n + \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}} < \varepsilon$. □

3. Theorem. *Let $(g_n) \subseteq C(K)$ with $0 \leq g_n \leq \alpha < +\infty$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, pointwise converging to* 0. *Then $\Phi g_n \to 0$.*

Proof: The sequence of functions $f_n := \bigvee\limits_{k=n}^{\infty} g_k$ fulfills the assumptions of the previous lemma. Thus,

$$0 \leq \Phi g_n \leq \overline{\Phi}(f_n) \to 0 \ .$$

□

This theorem yields, for example, that a dominated sequence $(g_n) \subseteq C[0,1]$ tending pointwise to 0 has also $\int\limits_0^1 g_n \to 0$, without any application of measure theory, or other complicated ideas and methods.

2. A convexification admitting uniform convergence

Let K be a compact topological space.

1. Lemma. *Let $(f_n) \subseteq C(K)$ with $0 \leq f_n \leq \alpha < +\infty$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, pointwise converging to* 0. *Then for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a convex combination g of the functions $f_1, f_2, \ldots$ such that $g \leq \varepsilon$ on the whole K.*

Proof: Suppose, by contradiction, that there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the convex subsets

$$M := \operatorname{co}(f_1, f_2, \ldots) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \varepsilon + C(K)_- := \{f \in C(K) : f \leq \varepsilon\}$$

of $C(K)$ are disjoint. Since the interior of $\varepsilon + C(K)_-$ is nonempty, by the Hahn-Banach separation theorem we obtain a nonzero functional $\Phi \in (C(K))^*$ such that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon + C(K)_-} \Phi \leq \inf_M \Phi \ .$$

This implies that the functional Φ is positive. Then by the latest theorem, $\Phi f_n \to 0$, on the other hand

$$\Phi f_n \geq \inf_M \Phi \geq \sup_{\varepsilon + C(K)_-} \Phi \geq \Phi(\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{1}) > 0$$

for every n, since Φ is positive and nonvoid. This is a contradiction. □

4. Definition. *Let* (f_n) *be a sequence from a set* X. *A sequnce* $(g_n) \subseteq X$ *is called a* convexification *of the sequence* (f_n) *if*

$$g_n \in \operatorname{co}(f_n, f_{n+1}, \ldots)$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

5. Theorem. *Let* $(f_n) \subseteq C(K)$ *with* $|f_n| \le \alpha < +\infty$ *for every* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *pointwise converging to* 0. *Then there is a convexification* (g_n) *of the sequence* (f_n) *converging uniformly to* 0 *on* K.

Proof: Apply the previous lemma for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}$ and the sequence $|f_n|, |f_{n+1}|, \ldots$. □

1. Remark. *From the previous theorem immediately follows that an arbitrary functional* $\Phi \in (C(K))^*$ *has the property of dominated* 0*-convergence on* $C(K)$, *without the Jordan-type decomposition.*

3. An application: Krein's theorem with elementary tools

The analogue of the following idea is due to Whitley [6].

Let X be a real Banach space and $K \subseteq X$ be a separable weakly compact set. Denote $J : X \to X^{**}$ the usual canonical embedding.

6. Theorem. $\overline{\operatorname{co}}(K)$ *is weakly compact (the closure is taken in norm).*

Proof: By Alaoglu's theorem, the unit ball $\mathcal{B}_{(C(K,w^*))^*}$ of the Banach space $(C(K, w^*))^*$ is w^*-compact. The restriction operator $R : X^* \to C(K)$, $\varphi \mapsto \varphi_{|K}$ is continuous, so

$$M := \left\{\Phi R : \Phi \in \mathcal{B}_{(C(K))^*}\right\} \subseteq X^{**}$$

is also convex and w^*-compact, hence $\overline{\operatorname{co}}(J(K)) \subseteq M$. Now it is enough to prove that every $\Phi R \in M$ $\left(\Phi \in \mathcal{B}_{(C(K))^*}\right)$ belonging to the w^*-closure of $\overline{\operatorname{co}}(J(K))$, is an element of $J(X)$. Suppose it is not; then by Hahn-Banach theorem we obtain a functional $A \in B_{X^{***}}$ such that $AJ = 0$ and $\alpha := A(\Phi R) > 0$. Let (x_n) be a norm dense sequence in K. By Goldstine's theorem we get for every integer n that there is a functional $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{B}_{X^*}$ such that

$$|\Phi R \varphi_n - \alpha|, |\varphi_n x_1|, |\varphi_n x_2|, \ldots, |\varphi_n x_n| \le \frac{1}{n}\ .$$

Then the sequence (φ_n) tends to 0 at every x_k, thus, $(R\varphi_n)$ being bounded tends to 0 pointwise on the whole K. Hence by the Remark **??** after Theorem 5 we get that $\Phi R \varphi_n \to 0$. On the other hand, by the choice, $\Phi R \varphi_n$ obviously tends to α, which is a contradiction. □

Hivatkozások

[1] ARZELÀ, C.: *Sulla integrazione per serie,* Rendinconti Accad.Lincei Roma , 1 (1885), pp. 532-537 , 566-569.

[2] DANIELL, P.J.: *A general form of integral,* Annals of Mathematics, Vol. 19 (1918) pp. 279-94.

[3] EBERLEIN, W. F.: *Notes on integration I: the underlying convergence theorem,* Communications on Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 10 (1957) pp. 357-360.

[4] LEWIN, J. W.: *A Truly Elementary Approach to the Bounded Convergence Theorem,* The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 93, No. 5 (1986), pp. 395-397.

[5] OSGOOD, W. F.: *Non-uniform convergence and the integration of series term by term,* Amer. Journal of Math., Vol. 19, (1897) pp. 155-190.

[6] WHITLEY, R.: *The Krein-Smulian Theorem,* Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 97, No. 2 (1986), pp. 376-377.